arXiv:1604.03613v3 [math.GR] 29 Mar 2017

Comparison of Volumes of Siegel Sets and Fundamental Domains for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$

Gisele Teixeira Paula

March 30, 2017

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada. Est. Dona Castorina, 110 – IMPA. CEP 22460-320. Jardim Botânico, Rio de Janeiro-RJ. Brazil.

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to calculate explicitly the volume of Siegel sets for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, so that we can compare this volume with the volume of fundamental domains of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, also computed here, for any $n \geq 2$. An important feature of this computation is that it requires keeping track of normalization constants of the Haar measure. As a corollary, we conclude that the ratio between these volumes grows super-exponentially fast with n and it gives a super-exponencial lower bound for the number of intersecting Siegel sets.

1 Introduction

Siegel sets were first introduced in the study of quadratic forms by Siegel [9] in 1939, with some results following from previous works of Hermite and Korkine-Zolotarreff. In a fundamental paper [2], Borel and Harish-Chandra have generalised this notion and used Siegel domains to prove finiteness of covolumes of non-cocompact arithmetic subgroups.

The simple structure of Siegel sets, compared to those of the actual fundamental domains makes them appealing for applications. For example, in his recent paper [13], R. Young exploited their properties to obtain new results in geometric group theory. Still very little is known about the geometry of Siegel sets in general. In his book [7], Morris describes examples of Siegel sets not only for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, with $n \geq 2$, but also in the case of any semisimple Lie group G with a given Iwasawa decomposition.

In this paper we recall one of the main properties of Siegel sets – the finiteness of their volume. We evaluate this volume explicitely in the basic case of Siegel sets for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ for any $n \geq 2$. We then compare these volumes with the actual covolumes of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. To this end, we have to deal with an essential difficulty related to the normalization of the Haar measure. For calculating the volumes of Siegel sets, the main difficulty is to find a nice way to describe the region of integration, which we solve with an appropriate change of coordinates. Most of the volume computations that followed Siegel's original approach were not careful about the normalization constants, just noting that they are computable and could be calculated from the proof. In Section

5, we follow Garret's notes on Siegel's method [4] to compute the volumes of the quotients $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ for $n \geq 3$ using induction and the volume of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$, that is computed in [4]. Our main goal here is to keep a careful track of the normalization constants. The main tools we use are the Poisson Summation formula, the Iwasawa decomposition of G and the choice of a good Haar measure normalization on each group. At the end of the section we discuss the relation between the normalization of the measure we used and the canonical normalization that comes from the metric associated to the Killing form on $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R})$.

Comparing the two volumes, we conclude that somewhat surprinsingly the ratio between volumes of Siegel sets and volumes of fundamental domains of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ grows super-exponentially fast with n.

As an application of the computations presented here, in Section 6 we show that given a Siegel set Σ of Γ, we have an explicit lower bound for the number of elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma\Sigma$ intersects Σ. This bound is given by the ratio between $\mathrm{vol}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)$ – see Corollary 5. We also give a proof that this result is consistent with a recent work of M. Orr [8], which generalizes a previous result of P. Habegger and J. Pila [5] on the height of such elements γ, motivated by the study of Shimura varieties and their unlikely intersections. More precisely, Orr's result as a corollary gives an upper bound for the number of intersecting Siegel sets while our work provides a lower bound for this number (see Corollary 6).

It would be interesting to compute the volumes of Siegel sets in other cases, for example for the action of well known Bianchi groups Γ_d on the hyperbolic three-dimensional space $\mathbb{H}^3$. In this case we should have to deal with another difficulty when describing Siegel sets, because of the fact that as d grows the quotients $\Gamma_d\backslash\mathbb{H}^3$ have a growing number of cusps. It would be worth doing these computations in the future, and then comparing them to the results obtained in this paper.

2 The Iwasawa decomposition of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$

Let $n \geq 2$, $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the action of Γ by left translations on G and let

$$K = \mathrm{SO}_n;$$

$$A = \left\{ \mathrm{diag}(a_1,\ldots,a_n); \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1; a_i > 0, \text{ for any } i = 1,\ldots,n \right\};$$

$$N = \left\{ (n_{ij})_{i,j} \in G; n_{ii} = 1 \text{ and } n_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } i > j \right\}.$$

Lemma 1. The product map

$$\Phi : K \times A \times N \longrightarrow G$$

$$(k,a,n) \mapsto kan$$

is a homeomorphism.

Proof. We can construct an inverse map for Φ by using the Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization process.

Take $g \in G$ and let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be its columns. Then define inductively $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ by

$$y_1 = \frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|};$$

$$y_i = \frac{\widetilde{y}_i}{\|\widetilde{y}_i\|}, \text{ where } \widetilde{y}_i = x_i - \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \langle x_i, y_l \rangle y_l; \text{ for } i = 2, \ldots, n.$$

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a unique $k \in \mathrm{SO}_n$ such that $k(y_i) = e_i$, for any $i = 1, \ldots n$. Therefore

$$k(\widetilde{y}_i) = k(\|\widetilde{y}_i\| \, y_i) = \|\widetilde{y}_i\| \, k(y_i) = \|\widetilde{y}_i\| \, e_i, \text{ for any } i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

So there is a diagonal matrix $a = \mathrm{diag}(\|\widetilde{y}_1\|, \ldots, \|\widetilde{y}_n\|)$, such that

$$k(\widetilde{y}_i) = a(e_i), \text{ for any } i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Also, it is easy to see that $y_i \in \langle x_1, \ldots, x_i \rangle$, for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus we have:

$$g^{-1}\widetilde{y}_i = g^{-1}(x_i - \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \langle x_l, y_l \rangle y_l) \in g^{-1}x_i + g^{-1} \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1} \rangle$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{-1}\widetilde{y}_i \in e_i + \langle e_1, \ldots, e_{i-1} \rangle .$$

From this, we conclude that there exists $u \in N$ such that $g^{-1}\widetilde{y}_i = ue_i$, for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore,

$$u^{-1}g^{-1}\widetilde{y}_i = e_i = a^{-1}k(\widetilde{y}_i), \text{ for any } i \Rightarrow u^{-1}g^{-1} = a^{-1}k \Rightarrow g = k^{-1}au^{-1}.$$

It is easy to see now that $a \in A$ and thus we can define a continuous inverse map $g \in G \mapsto (k^{-1}, a, u^{-1}) \in K \times A \times N$. □

The previous lemma gives us the Iwasawa decomposition $G = KAN$ of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $K \cap A = K \cap N = A \cap N = \{I\}$.

3 Haar measure on $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$

Given a locally compact Hausdorff topological group G, a left invariant Haar measure on G is, by definition, a regular Borel measure μ on G such that for all $g \in G$ and all Borel sets $E \subset G$ we have $\mu(gE) = \mu(E)$. It is well known that every connected Lie group admits a Haar measure. Moreover, this Haar measure is always unique up to scalar multiples. We can define analogously right-invariant Haar measures. See [12] for more results about Haar measures on Lie groups.

Since $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is unimodular, i.e. the left and right invariant Haar measures coincide, and dg is invariant under left translation by elements of K and under right translation by elements of AN, we get that the Haar measure of G in k, v, a coordinates is given by the product measure $dg = dk\, du\, da$, where

da, du and dk are the Haar measures on A, N and K, repectively. This means that for every compactly supported and continuous function f on G, we have

$$\int_G f(g)dg = \int_K \int_A \int_N f(kau)du\, da\, dk.$$

It can be proved by induction that the Haar measure on N is given by $du = \prod_{i<j} du_{ij}$. It is usually convenient to change the order of integration on the variables a and u, and to this end we can change the coordinates from u to $v = aua^{-1}$. Then v is also an upper triangular unipotent matrix of the form

$$v = Id + \sum_{i<j\leq n} \frac{a_i}{a_j} u_{ij} E_{ij}.$$

It is easily seen that $dv = \prod_{i<j} dv_{ij} = \prod_{i<j} \frac{a_i}{a_j} du_{ij}$. This gives us

$$\int_G f(g)dg = \int_K \int_A \int_N f(kva)dv\, da\, dk = \int_K \int_N \int_A f(kau) \prod_{i<j} \frac{a_i}{a_j} da\, du\, dk,$$

In this work, we will consider the Haar measure in K to be the usual one: it is easy to see that the isotropy group of $e_n = (0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ by the action of SO_n in $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is isomorphic to SO_{n-1}. Then $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cong \mathrm{SO}_{n-1}\backslash \mathrm{SO}_n$. We have that the natural map $\pi : \mathrm{SO}_n \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is a Riemannian submersion if we rescale it by a factor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Thus

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_n) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1)} \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}).$$

By using induction and the fact that $\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_n) = 2^{\frac{1}{4}n(n-1)} \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-2}) \ldots \mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^1) = 2^{(n-1)(\frac{n}{4}+1)} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\pi^{\frac{i}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{i}{2})}.$$

It remains to define a Haar measure on A. We claim that $da = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{da_i}{a_i}$ is such a measure. Indeed, let $\phi : A \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be the map

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (t_1, \ldots, t_{n-1}) = (\log a_1, \ldots, \log a_{n-1}).$$

As ϕ is a group isomorphism and Haar measure is preserved by isomorphisms we get that $da = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} dt_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{da_i}{a_i}$ is a Haar measure on A.

4 Siegel Sets for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$

Definition 2. Let Γ be some group acting properly discontinuously on a topological space X. We call $\mathcal{F} \subset X$ a *coarse fundamental domain* for Γ if:

- $\Gamma\mathcal{F} = X$;
- $\{\gamma \in \Gamma; \gamma\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.

Definition 3. A Siegel set in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is a set $\Sigma_{t,\lambda}$ of the form

$$\Sigma_{t,\lambda} = KA_tN_\lambda,$$

where t, λ are positive real numbers,

$$A_t = \left\{ a \in A | \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} \leq t, \text{ for any } i = 1, \ldots, n \right\}$$

and

$$N_\lambda = \{u \in N | \, |u_{ij}| \leq \lambda, \text{ for any } i, j = 1, \ldots, n\}.$$

For certain parameters t, λ the Siegel sets $\Sigma_{t,\lambda}$ are coarse fundamental domains for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Another important property is that they have finite volume. Siegel sets can be also defined in a more general way for lattices in other semisimple Lie groups, as it can be seen in Chapter 19 of Morris [7].

In this section we compute the volumes of the Siegel sets in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. We will use the Haar measure on G given in Section 3 in k, v, a coordinates. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(\Sigma_{t,\lambda}) &= \int_K \int_{|u_{ij}| \leq \lambda} \int_{\frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} \leq t} \prod_{i<j} \frac{a_i}{a_j} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{da_i}{a_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} du_{ij} dk. \\ &= \mathrm{vol}(K)(2\lambda)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \int_{\frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}} \leq t} \prod_{i<j} \frac{a_i}{a_j} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{da_i}{a_i}.\end{aligned}$$

To compute the integral over $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ (with the condition $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1$), we change variables from $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ to the variables

$$b_i = \frac{a_i}{a_{i+1}}, \text{ for any } i = 1, \ldots, n-1.$$

By elementary computation, we get

$$\prod_{i<j} \frac{a_i}{a_j} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i^{i(n-i)}.$$

Moreover, as $a_i = b_i a_{i+1}$, the Jacobian of the change of coordinates from a_i to b_i is $\frac{1}{2a_1}$. The integral then becomes

$$\int_{b_i \leq t} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i^{i(n-i)} \frac{1}{b_1 a_2 b_2 a_3 \ldots b_{n-1} a_n} \frac{1}{2a_1} \prod_{i \leq n-1} db_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\int_{b_i \leq t} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i^{[i(n-i)-1]} \prod_{i \leq n-1} db_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{t^{ni-i^2}}{(ni-i^2)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{t^{\frac{n(n^2-1)}{6}}}{((n-1)!)^2}.$$

We conclude that

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Sigma_{t,\lambda}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_n)(2u)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}\frac{t^{\frac{n(n^2-1)}{6}}}{((n-1)!)^2}. \tag{1}$$

Borel presents in [1] a proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 4. For $t \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $\lambda \geq \frac{1}{2}$, one has $\Sigma_{t,\lambda}\Gamma = G$.

From this we can conclude that $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G) < \infty$, since $\Sigma_{t,u}$ has finite volume and it contains a fundamental domain for $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ if $t \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $u \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

By taking $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and $t = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ in formula (1), we get that in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Sigma_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}}) = 2^{(n-1)(\frac{n}{4}+1)-1}\Big(\prod_{i=2}^{n}\frac{\pi^{\frac{i}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{i}{2})}\Big)\frac{(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}})^{\frac{n(n^2-1)}{6}}}{((n-1)!)^2}$$

$$= \frac{2^{\frac{2n^3+3n^2+7n-24}{12}}\pi^{\frac{n^2+n-2}{2}}}{3^{\frac{n(n^2-1)}{12}}((n-1)!)^2\prod_{i=2}^{n}\Gamma(\frac{i}{2})}$$

This volume grows like e^{cn^3}, when n goes to infinity, for some constant c. On the other hand, as we will see in next section, $\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$, computed with respect to the same normalization of the Haar measure, goes to zero as n grows.

5 Volume of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$

It is well known that $\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ is finite. Our goal in this section is to calculate it, with respect to the same normalization of the Haar measure used in the previous section. The whole computation follows the original approach of Siegel [10], but we have to be careful with the normalization constants. We use Poisson summation, induction and the previously known fact that $\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})) = \sqrt{2}\zeta(2)$, which can be proved in a similar way (see [4], being careful with respect to the different normalization of $\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_2)$ we are considering).

Given a lattice Λ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we define $|\Lambda|$ to be the covolume of Λ, i.e. the volume of $\mathbb{R}^n/\Lambda$ and the dual lattice of Λ by

$$\Lambda^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle x, y\rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for any } x \in \Lambda\}.$$

The Poisson summation formula says that given any such lattice, a vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and an adimissible function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ in $\mathcal{L}^1$, we have

$$\sum_{x\in\Lambda} f(x+w) = \frac{1}{|\Lambda|}\sum_{t\in\Lambda^*} e^{-2\pi i\langle w,t\rangle}\hat{f}(t),$$

where $\hat{f}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{2\pi i\langle x,t\rangle}dx$ is the Fourrier transform of f and admissibilty of f means that there exist a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x)|$ and $\left|\hat{f}(x)\right|$ are bounded above by a constant times $(1+|x|)^{-n-\delta}$. For more general information about Poisson Summation the reader can refer to [11].

Let $f \in \mathcal{L}^1$ be an admissible function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and define $F : G \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F(g) = \sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^n} f(vg).$$

Here we are considering the action of G on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the right. Clearly, F is left Γ-invariant, as $\mathbb{Z}^n\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ under the standard action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Consider $\int_{\Gamma\backslash G} F(g)dg$. We will use this integral to calculate $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)$.

Let

$$Q = stab_G(e) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; h \in \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}), * \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \right\},$$

where $e = (0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and write $Q_\mathbb{Z} = Q \cap \Gamma$. Using linear algebra over $\mathbb{Z}$, note that

$$\mathbb{Z}^n - \{0\} = \bigcup_{\ell>0} \bigcup_{\gamma\in Q_\mathbb{Z}\backslash\Gamma} \ell e\gamma,$$

where ℓ runs over positive integers.

Then we can write

$$\int_{\Gamma\backslash G} F(g)dg = \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} f(0)dg + \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} \sum_{\ell>0} \sum_{\gamma\in Q_\mathbb{Z}\backslash\Gamma} f(\ell e\gamma g)dg$$

$$= \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \sum_{\ell>0} \int_{Q_\mathbb{Z}\backslash G} f(\ell eg)dg.$$

For the second equality note that a fundamental domain for $Q_\mathbb{Z}$ in G is the union of images of a fundamental domain for Γ in G by representatives of classes in $Q_\mathbb{Z}\backslash\Gamma$. In addition, the Schwartz condition on f ensures that the integral over $Q_\mathbb{Z}\backslash G$ is finite.

Write

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h & * \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\det(h)} \end{pmatrix}; h \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}), \det(h) > 0, * \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \right\};$$

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; h \in \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}, M_\mathbb{Z} = M \cap \Gamma;$$

$$A' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} t^{\frac{1}{n-1}} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}; t > 0 \right\};$$

$$N' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \right\}, N'_\mathbb{Z} = N' \cap \Gamma.$$

Note that $P = N'MA' \supset NA$, $Q = N'M$ and $G = N'MA'K$, but this time we have that $N'MA'$ intersects K non-trivially, i.e. this is not an Iwasawa

decomposition. However, $N'MA'K$ projects on G with fiber $\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$. Then for every left G-invariant function Φ we get

$$\int_{Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash G} \Phi(g)dg = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1})} \int_{Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash(N'MA'K)} \Phi(n'ma'k)dn'\,dm\,da'\,dk$$

where dg is the same measure used in the previous section (coming from the Iwasawa decomposition) and dn', dm, da' and dk are the left Haar measures on N', M, A' and K, respectively. Again it is convenient to change the order of integration, by letting the variable $a' \in A'$ to be the last one. This gives us $d(a'qa'^{-1}) = t^n dq$, for $q = n'm \in N'M$.

Then, if we require our function f to be K-invariant, the integral $\int_{\Gamma\backslash G} F(g)dg$ becomes equal to

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1})} \sum_{\ell>0} \int_{Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash(N'MA'K)} f(\ell en'ma'k)dn'\,dm\,da'\,dk$$

$$= \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash K)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1})} \sum_{\ell>0} \int_{Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash(N'M)} \int_{A'} f(\ell en'ma')t^n da'\,dn'\,dm.$$

We have $K \cap Q_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})$. Noting that

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cong \mathrm{SO}_{n-1}\backslash\mathrm{SO}_n \cong \frac{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SO}_n}{\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}},$$

we get

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) = \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}\backslash\mathrm{SO}_n) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SO}_n)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SO}_{n-1})}.$$

As $\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ acts properly and freely in SO_n, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that

$$\mathrm{SO}_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SO}_n$$

is a finite covering with $\#\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ sheets, which gives us

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_n) = \#(\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{Z}))\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SO}_n).$$

Altogether, we obtain:

$$\mathrm{vol}(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash K) = \mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SO}_n) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1})}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}))}.$$

As the integrand is invariant under $N'M$ ($en'm = e$, for any $n' \in N'$ and $m \in M$) and the volume of $N'_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash N'$ is 1, this implies

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash K)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1})} \sum_{\ell>0} \int_{Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash(N'M)} \int_{A'} f(\ell en'ma')t^n da'\,dn'\,dm$$

$$= \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\backslash K)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1})}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})) \sum_{\ell>0} \int_{A'} f(\ell ea')t^n da'$$

$$= \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}))}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}))\sum_{\ell>0}\int_{A'} f(\ell e a')t^n da'$$

Replacing $a' \in A'$ by $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ via the trivial isomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} t^{\frac{1}{n-1}} I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in A' \mapsto t \in (\mathbb{R}^+, .),$$

and noticing that the Haar measure on the group $(\mathbb{R}^+, .)$ is given by $\frac{dt}{t}$, where dt is the usual measure in $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, we get to

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}))}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}))\sum_{\ell>0}\int_0^\infty f(\ell e t)t^n \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Replacing t by $\frac{t}{\ell}$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}))}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}))\sum_{\ell>0}\frac{1}{\ell^n}\int_0^\infty f(et)t^n \frac{dt}{t}.$$

By using polar coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n = \{(v,t), v \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+\}$, we get

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})\int_0^\infty f(et)t^n\frac{dt}{t} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_0^\infty f(v,t)t^{n-1}dtdv = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx = \hat{f}(0).$$

Thus the integral becomes

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{1}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}))}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}))\zeta(n)\hat{f}(0),$$

where $\zeta(n) = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{l^n}$ is the Riemman zeta function.

For every $g \in G$, we are going to apply the Poisson summation formula to the lattice $\Lambda = \{vg; v \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the vector $w = 0$ and the initial function f. Note that $\Lambda^* = \{vg^*; v \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$, where $g^* = {}^\top g^{-1}$. Then we get

$$F(g) = \sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^n} f(vg) = \sum_{v\in\mathbb{Z}^n}\hat{f}(vg^*) = \hat{F}(g^*), \text{ for any } g \in G.$$

The automorphism $g \mapsto g^*$ preserves the measure on G and stabilizes Γ, so we can do an analogous computation with the roles of f and $\hat{f}$ reversed. Since $\hat{\hat{f}}(0) = f(0)$ and $\int_{\Gamma\backslash G} F(g)dg = \int_{\Gamma\backslash G}\hat{F}(g)dg$, we obtain

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)f(0) + \frac{1}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}))}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}))\zeta(n)\hat{f}(0) = \int_{\Gamma\backslash G} F(g)dg$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma\backslash G}\hat{F}(g)dg = \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)\hat{f}(0) + \frac{1}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}))}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}))\zeta(n)f(0).$$

By taking f such that $f(0) \neq \hat{f}(0)$, we get to

$$\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G) = \frac{1}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}))}\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}))\zeta(n)$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\#(\mathrm{SO}_i(\mathbb{Z}))} \cdot \zeta(n) \dots \zeta(2).$$

We observe that $\#(\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{Z})) = 2^{n-1}n!$. Indeed, the group $\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ consists of monomial matrices whose nonzero entries are ± 1 and which have determinant equal to 1. The first condition gives us $2^n n!$ matrices. Now if we look at the surjective group homomorphism

$$\det : B = \{\text{monomial matrices with nonzero entries} \in \{\pm 1\}\} \to \{\pm 1\},$$

we get $B/Ker(\det) \cong \{\pm 1\}$, which implies

$$\#(\mathrm{SO}_n(\mathbb{Z})) = \#(Ker(\det)) = \frac{\#(B)}{2} = \frac{2^n n!}{2} = 2^{n-1}n!.$$

Thus the volume of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, for every $n \geq 2$, is given by the formula

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})) = \sqrt{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i-1}i!} \cdot \prod_{i=2}^{n} \zeta(i) = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n} \zeta(i)}{2^{\frac{n^2-3n+1}{2}} \prod_{i=2}^{n} i!}. \qquad (2)$$

It is easy to see that this function goes to zero like $e^{-c'n^2}$ as n grows, where c' is a constant. It has a completely different behaviour from the volume growth of Siegel Sets described by formula (1). What we can conclude is that, although the geometry of a Siegel set is always simpler than that of the actual fundamental domain of a lattice, their volumes can differ dramatically as n grows. Thus we should be careful if we want to replace fundamental domains of any lattice by simpler structures such as Siegel sets, due to the possibility that some of their relevant features, e.g. volume, may have different behavior to that of fundamental domains.

A natural question arising here is how is our normalization of the Haar measure related to the canonical normalization defined by using the Killing form on $\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

To answer this question we can compare our formula with a result of Harder [6], who computed the volume of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash X$, where X is the symmetric space $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}_n$. In order to do this comparison, note that by equation (2) we have

$$\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash X) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SO}_n)} = \frac{\sqrt{2} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^{i-1}i!} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \zeta(i)}{2^{(n-1)(\frac{n}{4}+1)} \prod_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\pi^{\frac{i}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{i}{2})}}. \qquad (3)$$

By Harder's formula, we obtain that this volume in the canonical normalization is given by

$$\mathrm{vol}_1(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash X) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i! \prod_{i=2}^{n} \zeta(i)}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}} 2^{\tau} n!}, \qquad (4)$$

where $\tau = n$ if n is odd and $\tau = n-1$ if n is even.

We see that these volumes differ by a factor given by

$$C_1(n) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}_1(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash X)}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash X)} = \frac{2^{\frac{n^2-5n-2}{4}-\tau}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i!\Big)^2}{n!\pi^{\frac{n^2+5n+2}{4}}\prod_{i=2}^{n}\Gamma\Big(\frac{i}{2}\Big)},$$

where $\tau = n$ if n is odd and $\tau = n-1$ if n is even. The same renormalization can be applied to (1) in order to obtain the volumes of Siegel sets in the symmetric spaces with respect to the standard normalization of the measure.

6 Bounding the number of intersecting domains

Another consequence of this work is the following corollary:

Corollary 5. Let N be the cardinality of the set $\mathcal{I} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma; \gamma\Sigma \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset\}$, where $\Sigma = \Sigma_{\frac{1}{2},\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}}$. Then $N \geq C(n)$, where

$$C(n) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\Sigma)}{\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G)} = \frac{2^{\frac{2n^3+9n^2+25n-30}{12}}\pi^{\frac{n^2+n-2}{4}}\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i!}{3^{\frac{n^3-n}{12}}((n-1)!)^2\prod_{i=2}^{n}\Gamma(\frac{i}{2})\prod_{i=2}^{n}\zeta(i)} \sim e^{\tilde{c}n^3},$$

for some constant $\tilde{c}$ that does not depend on n.

Proof. As Σ is a Siegel set, it must contain a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}$ for Γ. We affirm that $\Sigma \subset \bigcup_{\gamma\in\mathcal{I}} \gamma\mathcal{F}$.

Indeed, given $x \in \Sigma$, if $x \in \mathcal{F}$, there is nothing to prove. If $x \notin \mathcal{F}$, as the images of $\mathcal{F}$ tesselate $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ we must have $x \in \gamma\mathcal{F}$, for some $Id \neq \gamma \in \Gamma$. As $\gamma\mathcal{F} \subset \gamma\Sigma$, we obtain $x \in \gamma\Sigma \cap \Sigma$, and thus $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}$. Therefore the inclusion above is true.

From this we obtain $N\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma\backslash G) \geq \mathrm{vol}(\Sigma)$ and thus $N \geq C(n)$, as stated. □

In his recent work [8], Martin Orr shows in a more general setting that given a reductive algebraic group G defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, a general Siegel set $\Sigma \subset G(\mathbb{R})$ for some arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Q})$, and $\theta \in G(\mathbb{Q})$, there exists an upper bound for the height of elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\theta\Sigma \cap \gamma\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. The height of an element is defined by:

$$H(\gamma) = \max_{1\leq i,j\leq n} H(\gamma_{ij}),$$

where given a rational number a/b, $H(a/b)$ is defined by the maximum of the absolute values of a and b. Orr shows that, given any element γ of the set

$$\Sigma_{N,D} := \Sigma\Sigma^{-1} \cap \{\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q}), \det\gamma \leq N \text{ and the denominators of } \gamma \text{ are } \leq D\},$$

there exists some constant C_1, depending on the group G, on the Siegel set Σ and on the way the group G is embedded in some $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, such that

$$H(\gamma) \leq C_1 N^n D^{n^2},$$

where $N = |\det\gamma|$ and D is the maximum of the denominators of entries of γ. Note that for $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, the set $\mathcal{I}$ defined above is contained in $\Sigma_{N,D}$.

In this section we are going to compare this result with ours, i.e., to see what happens in the case when $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that in this case, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have $N = |\det\gamma| = 1$ and also $D = 1$ because the entries of γ are all integers. Thus Orr's result gives us, for this case,

$$H(\gamma) \leq C_1(n).$$

It is easy to see that the height of an element $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is equal to $|\gamma|_{max}$. Therefore, his result turns to

$$|\gamma|_{max} \leq C_1(n).$$

By Example 1.6 on page 5 of [3], the set $\{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}); \|\gamma\| \leq C_1(n)\}$ has cardinality of assymptotic order $c_n C_1(n)^{(n^2-n)}$, with $c_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus if we assume that n is sufficiently large, we can suppose that $c_n < \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ fixed. Therefore, we have

$$|\{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}); \|\gamma\| \leq C_1(n)\}| \prec C_1(n)^{(n^2-n)},$$

where the notation $f(n) \prec g(n)$ used above means that there exists a positive constant C such that for sufficiently big n, we have $f(n) \leq Cg(n)$.

Note that the result in [3] is proved for the Euclidean norm $\|.\|$ in $M_{n\times n}$ and we know that $\|\gamma\| \leq n\,|\gamma|_{max}$. Thus

$$|\{\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}); |\gamma|_{max} \leq C_1(n)\}| \prec (nC_1(n))^{(n^2-n)}.$$

We are going to show that $C_1(n) \leq e^{(n-1)ln(\frac{n}{4})}$. From this we obtain that $|\mathcal{I}| \prec e^{n^3 ln(\frac{n}{4})}$. Hence we have:

Corollary 6. For $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{I}$ defined above, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$e^{c_1 n^3} \leq |\mathcal{I}| \leq e^{c_2 n^3 ln(n)}.$$

In order to obtain the second inequality we adapt the proofs in [8] for the $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ case, with the difference that we give explicit values for the constants.

Definition 7. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{I}$. From this element, we can define:

- A **partition** of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (with respect to γ) is a list of disjoint subintervals of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, which we call **components**, whose union is all of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and such that:
  - γ is block upper triangular with respect to the chosen partition;
  - γ is not block upper triangular with respect to any other finer partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$;

- A **leading entry** of γ is a pair $(i,j) \in \{1,\dots,n\}^2$ such that γ_{ij} is the leftmost non-zero entry of the i-th row of γ.

For a concrete description of what are the possible partitions in the GL_3 case see Section 3.2 of [8].

We will make use of the following lemma whose proof can be found in [8]:

Lemma 8. If i,j are in the same component, then there exists a sequence of indices $i_1,\dots,i_s$ such that $i_1 = i, i_s = j$ and

$(*)$ For every $p \leq s-1$, either $i_p \leq i_{p+1}$ or (i_p, i_p+1) is a leading entry.

In the proof of the following lemmas for the GL_n case, Martin Orr uses the notation $A \ll B$ meaning that there exists a constant C, depending on n, such that $|A| \leq C\,|B|$. Our point here is to compute such constants so that we can make explicit the value of $C_1(n)$.

Lemma 9. If (i,j) is a leading entry of γ, then $\alpha_j \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\beta_i$.

Proof. For any $\gamma \in \Sigma\Sigma^{-1}$, we can write $\gamma = \nu\beta\kappa\alpha^{-1}\mu^{-1}$, with $\kappa \in \mathrm{SO}_n$, $\nu,\mu \in N_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\alpha,\beta \in A_{\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}}$. This gives us the equation $\gamma\mu\alpha = \nu\beta\kappa$. We will compare the lengths of the i-th rows in each side of this equation.

As $\kappa \in \mathrm{SO}_n$, multiplying by κ on the right does not change the length of each row. If we expand out lengths we obtain

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n}\Big(\sum_{q=1}^{n}\gamma_{iq}\mu_{qp}\Big)\alpha_p^2 = \sum_{p=1}^{n}\nu_{ip}^2\beta_p^2.$$

As ν is upper triangular, the non-zero terms on the right hand side of the last equation must have $p \geq i$. By the definition of A_t, for all $p \geq i$ we have

$$\beta_p \leq \frac{1}{t^{(p-i)}}\beta_i \leq \beta_i,$$

where in the second inequality we used that $t = \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$ and $p \geq i$ imply $\frac{1}{t^{(p-i)}} \leq 1$. Since $\nu \in N_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $|\nu_{ip}| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for any i,p. Alltogether,

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n}\nu_{ip}^2\beta_p^2 \leq \left|\sum_{p=1}^{n}\nu_{ip}^2\beta_p^2\right| \leq \frac{1}{4}\sum_{p\geq i}^{n}\beta_p^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(n-i)\beta_i^2 \leq \frac{n}{4}\beta_i^2.$$

On the other hand, looking at the left hand side of the equation, we have:

$$\Big(\sum_{q=1}^{n}\gamma_{iq}\mu_{qj}\Big)\alpha_j^2 \leq \sum_{p=1}^{n}\Big(\sum_{q=1}^{n}\gamma_{iq}\mu_{qp}\Big)\alpha_p^2.$$

As (i,j) is a leading entry, we can only have $\gamma_{iq} \neq 0$ if $q \geq j$. But as μ is upper triangular, $\mu_{qj} \neq 0$ implies $q \leq j$. Thus the only non-zero term in the first sum is the one for $q = j$, so we get

$$\Big(\sum_{q=1}^{n}\gamma_{iq}\mu_{qj}\Big)\alpha_j^2 = \gamma_{ij}^2\mu_{jj}^2\alpha_j^2 = \gamma_{ij}^2\alpha_j^2.$$

Note that $\gamma_{ij} \neq 0$ and that as γ has integer entries, we must have $|\gamma_{ij}| \geq 1$, which implies $\gamma_{ij}^2 \geq 1$.

Altogether, we obtain

$$\alpha_j^2 \leq \alpha_j^2 \gamma_{ij}^2 \leq \frac{n}{4}\beta_i^2 \Rightarrow \alpha_j \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\beta_i,$$

from what we conclude the proof. □

Lemma 10. For all $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\alpha_k \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\beta_k$.

Proof. We affirm that there must exist a leading entry (i, j) such that $j \leq k \leq i$. To prove this notice that as γ is invertible, there must exist $i \geq k$ such that the i-th row of γ contains a non-zero entry in the k-th column or to its left (otherwise the leftmost k columns of γ would have rank less than k). Choose j so that γ_{ij} is the leading entry of γ in the i-th line and it will satisfy $j \leq k$ as claimed.

By Lemma 9 and by the definition of A_t we obtain

$$\alpha_k \leq \frac{1}{t^{(k-j)}}\alpha_j \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\beta_i \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\frac{1}{t^{(i-k)}}\beta_k \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\beta_k.$$

□

Lemma 11. For all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\beta_j \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\alpha_j$.

Proof. As α and β are diagonal with positive real entries, we have (by using Lemma 10 in the inequality)

$$\beta_j \det(\alpha) = \beta_j \prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_k \leq \beta_j \alpha_j \Big(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\Big)^{n-1} \prod_{k \neq j} \beta_k = \Big(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\Big)^{n-1} \alpha_j \det(\beta).$$

But as $\det(\beta) = \det(\alpha) = 1$,

$$\beta_j \leq \Big(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\Big)^{n-1} \alpha_j$$

and the lemma is proved. □

Lemma 12. If i and j are in the same component, $\beta_j \leq \left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{n-1}\alpha_i$.

Proof. We can apply Lemma 8 to obtain a sequence $i_1 = i, \ldots, i_s = j$ such that for any $p \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, we have either $i_p \leq i_{p+1}$ or (i_p, i_{p+1}) is a leading entry. We take this subsequence as the smallest possible.

If $i_p \leq i_{p+1}$ then as $\alpha \in A_t$ and $\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right)^n \geq 1$, we get

$$\frac{\alpha_{i_p}}{\alpha_{i_{p+1}}} \geq t^{i_{p+1}-i_p} \geq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha_{i_{p+1}} \leq \alpha_{i_p} \leq \Big(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\Big)^n \alpha_{i_p}.$$

On the other hand if (i_p, i_{p+1}) is a leading entry then by Lemmas 9 and 11 we have

$$\alpha_{i_{p+1}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\beta_{i_p} \leq \Big(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\Big)^n \alpha_{i_p}.$$

If we apply the last inequality successively we get to

$$\alpha_j = \alpha_{i_s} \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right)^{n(s-1)} \alpha_i.$$

Now we just apply Lemma 11 and noticing that $s \leq n$ to obtain

$$\beta_j \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\right)^{n-1} \alpha_j \leq \left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{n-1} \alpha_i.$$

□

Definition 13. We write
$Q = \{g \in G; g \text{ is block upper triangular according to the components of } \gamma\}$;
$L = \{g \in G; g \text{ is block diagonal according to the components of } \gamma\}$.

We affirm that $\kappa \in L$. Indeed, as the matrices $\gamma, \mu, \alpha, \beta$ and ν are in Q by the construction, we also have $\kappa \in Q$. On the other hand, if a matrix is block upper triangular and is also orthogonal, then it is block diagonal. Thus $\kappa \in L$.

Lemma 14. If $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $|\gamma_{ij}| \leq C_1(n) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{n-1}$.

Proof. Write $\gamma = \nu\beta\kappa\alpha^{-1}\mu^{-1}$. Because α, β are diagonal, the pq-th entry of $\beta\kappa\alpha^{-1}$ is $\beta_p\kappa_{pq}\alpha_q^{-1}$.

If p and q are not in the same component, as $\kappa \in L$, we get that $\kappa_{pq} = 0$. On the other hand, if they are in the same component, then by Lemma 12

$$\beta_p\kappa_{pq}\alpha_q^{-1} \leq \kappa_{pq}\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{n-1}.$$

By the definition of SO_n, $|\kappa|_{max} \leq 1$ for every $\kappa \in \mathrm{SO}_n$. Therefore

$$\beta_p\kappa_{pq}\alpha_q^{-1} \leq \left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{n-1}.$$

As we have $\mu, \nu \in N_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have $|\mu|_\infty, |\nu|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Altogether, we obtain

$$|\gamma_{ij}| \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{n-1}.$$

□

Therefore we conclude the proof that $H(\gamma) \leq C_1(n)$, where

$$C_1(n) = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)^{n-1} < e^{(n-1)ln(\frac{n}{4})}$$

and this finishes the proof of Corollary 6.

7 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico – CNPq. I would like to thank Professor Mikhail Belolipetsky for several suggestions on the development of this paper and also on the text, Professor Paul Garret for his very helpful notes and for answering promptly my emails with good suggestions, and Cayo Dória for helping me to understand better some topics.

References

[1] BOREL, A. *Introduction aux Groupes Arithmètiques*. Hermann, Paris. ISBN 978-2705613419, MR 0244260, 1969.

[2] BOREL, A. and HARISH-CHANDRA. *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*. Ann. of Math. 75: 485–535, 1962.

[3] DUKE, W.; RUDNICK, Z. and SARNAK, P. *Density of Integer Points on Affine Homogeneous Varieties*. Duke Mathematical Journal, Vol.71, No.1, p.143-179, 1993.

[4] GARRET, P. *Volume of* $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $Sp_n(\mathbb{Z})\backslash Sp_n(\mathbb{R})$. Disponible at http://www.math.umn.edu/ garrett/m/v/volumes.pdf.

[5] HABEGGER, P. and PILA, J. *Some unlikely intersections beyond André–Oort*. Compositio Mathematica 148 p.1-27, 2012.

[6] HARDER, G. *A Gauss-Bonnet formula for discrete arithmetically defined groups*. Annales Scientifiques de L'É.N.S. 4^e série, tome 4, n° 3, p.409-455, 1971.

[7] MORRIS, D. W. *Introduction to Arithmetic Groups*. Deductive Press, United States, 2015.

[8] ORR, M. *A Height Bound Streengthening the Siegel Property*. Preprint, arXiv:1609.01315v1, 2016.

[9] SIEGEL, C.L. *Einführung in die Theorie der Modulfunktionen n-ten Grades*. Mathematische Annalen 116: 617–657, 1939.

[10] SIEGEL, C.L. *Lectures on the Geometry of Numbers*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[11] STEIN, E. M. and WEISS, G. L. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*. Princeton Math. Series 32, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.

[12] VENKATARAMANA, T.N. *Lattices in Lie Groups*. In Workshop on Geometric Group Theory, India, 2010.

[13] YOUNG, R. *The Dehn function of* $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Ann. of Math. 177, 969–1027, 2013.